	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/001,594	JOHNSON ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christian P. Chace	2187
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31 1. This communication is responsive to appeal brief filed 19	ears on the cover sheet with (ICO) (n the correspondence address this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-10</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 10/31/01 are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority up a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents hav International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	e been received. e been received in Application	No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu	st be submitted.	
(a) \square including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 12/10/04 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Sur Paper No./M 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's A	fail Date Identify: Italian to the comment of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 10/001,594

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Appeal Brief

This Office action has been issued in response to appeal brief filed 19 November 2004. Claims 1-10 are pending. Claims 1-10 are allowed.

Information Disclosure Statement

IDS submitted 10 December 2004 was accompanied by the fee and statement set forth in 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the IDS has been considered. However, the French Patent reference was not accompanied by a translation of at least the abstract into English. Accordingly, that reference was not considered, and a line has been drawn through the reference on the attached copy of the PTO-1449.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to independent claim 1, each age bit indicating whether the corresponding data value has been recently accessed is not taught or suggested by the cited prior art of record. Specifically, the cited prior art of record teaches age bits indicating whether the corresponding data value has been modified (written), but if there is a read access, for example, the cited prior art of record does not set/reset the age bit. Claims 2-6 depend upon the instant claim and are allowable for at least the reason set forth supra with respect to same.

With respect to independent claim 7, determining that the entry has not been recently *accessed* when the bit is at the second logical state after at least a

Application/Control Number: 10/001,594

Art Unit: 2187

by the cited prior art of record. Specifically, the cited prior art of record teaches age bits indicating whether the corresponding data value has been modified (written), but if there is a read access, for example, the cited prior art of record does not set/reset the age bit.

With respect to independent claim 8, determining that the entry is dirty and has not been recently accessed when the bit is at the second logical state after at least a predetermined time after being set to the second logical state is not taught or suggested by the cited prior art of record. Specifically, the cited prior art of record teaches age bits indicating whether the corresponding data value has been modified (written), but if there is a read access, for example, the cited prior art of record does not set/reset the age bit.

With respect to independent claim 9, determining that at least one entry corresponding to the index has not been recently accessed when the bit is at the second logical state after at least a predetermined time after being set to the second logical state is not taught or suggested by the cited prior art of record. Specifically, the cited prior art of record teaches age bits indicating whether the corresponding data value has been modified (written), but if there is a read access, for example, the cited prior art of record does not set/reset the age bit.

With respect to independent claim 10, determining that at least one entry corresponding to the index is dirty and has not been recently accessed when the bit is at the second logical state after at least a predetermined time after being set to the second logical state is not taught or suggested by the cited prior art of record.

Specifically, the cited prior art of record teaches age bits indicating whether the

Application/Control Number: 10/001,594

Art Unit: 2187

corresponding data value has been modified (written), but if there is a read access, for example, the cited prior art of record does not set/reset the age bit.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian P. Chace whose telephone number is 571.272.4190. The examiner can normally be reached on MAXI FLEX.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 571.272.4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian P. Chace

Examiner Art Unit 2187